

# Energy dependence of $\sigma_{tot}(\gamma p)$ at HERA

Aharon Levy<sup>1,2,\*</sup>  
on behalf of the ZEUS collaboration

1- Max-Planck-Institute  
Föhringer Ring 6, 80805 Munich, Germany  
2- School of Physics and Astronomy  
Tel Aviv University, 69978 Tel Aviv, Israel

The energy dependence of the total photon-proton cross-section is determined from data collected with the ZEUS detector at HERA with two different proton beam energies.

## 1 Introduction

Donnachie and Landshoff (DL) [1] showed that the energy dependence of all hadron-hadron total cross sections can be described by a simple Regge motivated form,

$$\sigma_{tot} = A \cdot (W^2)^{\alpha_P(0)-1} + B \cdot (W^2)^{\alpha_R(0)-1}, \quad (1)$$

where  $A$  and  $B$  are process dependent constants,  $W$  is the hadron-hadron center-of-mass energy, and  $\alpha_P(0)$  ( $\alpha_R(0)$ ) is the Pomeron (Reggeon) trajectory intercept.

The  $\sigma_{tot}(\gamma p)$  dependence on  $W$  is particularly interesting because of the nature of the photon, which is known to exhibit properties of both a point-like particle (direct photon) and a hadron-like state (resolved photon). At the  $ep$  collider HERA,  $\sigma_{tot}(\gamma p)$  can be extracted from  $ep$  scattering at very low momentum transferred squared at the electron vertex,  $Q^2 \simeq 0 \text{ GeV}^2$ .

The first measurements of the total  $\gamma p$  cross section at HERA [2, 3] showed that the total photoproduction cross section has a  $W$  dependence similar to that of hadron-hadron reactions. Further measurements of  $\sigma_{tot}(\gamma p)$  at HERA [4, 5, 6] have reduced its statistical errors but the systematic uncertainties remained too large for a precise determination of the  $W$  dependence of the cross section. The original fits of DL gave  $\epsilon \equiv \alpha_P(0) - 1 = 0.0808$  and no uncertainties were determined. Cudell et al. [7], using a data set [9] that contained 2747 data points for total cross sections and 303 data points for real part of hadronic amplitudes, determined  $\epsilon = 0.093 \pm 0.003$ . However, only very few points were present for the highest center-of-mass energies. The data were from different experiments and had a large spread. Furthermore, the value of the Pomeron intercept comes out strongly correlated with that of the Reggeon trajectories. In another evaluation [8], the authors give the range of 0.07 - 0.10 as acceptable values for  $\epsilon$ .

Recently, just before the shut-down of the HERA collider, runs with different proton energies were taken, at constant positron energy. This opened up the possibility to determine precisely the power of the  $W$  dependence by measuring the ratios of cross sections in one experiment, thus having many of the systematic uncertainties canceling out.

The difficulty in measuring total cross section,  $\sigma$ , in a collider environment originates from the limited acceptance of collider detectors for certain class of processes, in particular for elastic and diffractive scattering, where the final state particles are likely to disappear down the beam-pipe. The determination of the acceptance relies on Monte Carlo simulation of the

---

\*Partly supported by the Israel Science Foundation (ISF).

physics and of the detector. The simulation of the physics is subject to many uncertainties which then impact on the systematic uncertainty on cross section measurement. For the energy dependence of  $\sigma$ , the impact of these uncertainties as well as of the geometrical uncertainties can be minimized by studying the ratio  $r$  of cross sections probed at different  $W$  values.

Assuming  $\sigma \sim W^\delta$  [6],

$$r = \frac{\sigma(W_1)}{\sigma(W_2)} = \left( \frac{W_1}{W_2} \right)^\delta. \quad (2)$$

Experimentally,

$$\sigma = \frac{N}{A \cdot \mathcal{L}}, \quad (3)$$

where  $A$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $N$  are the acceptance, luminosity and number of measured events, respectively, and therefore

$$r = \frac{N_1}{N_2} \cdot \frac{A_2}{A_1} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{L}_2}{\mathcal{L}_1}, \quad (4)$$

where the index 1(2) denotes measurements performed at  $W_1$  ( $W_2$ ). The acceptance for  $\gamma p$  events at HERA depends mainly on the detector infrastructure in the electron (rear) direction. If the change in the  $W$  value results from changing the proton energy, the acceptance is likely to remain the same independent of  $W$  and the ratio of acceptances will drop out in Eq. (4).

The number of measured events is from  $e^+p$  interactions. In order to convert the  $ep$  to  $\gamma p$  cross section, one uses the following relation:

$$\frac{d\sigma^{e^+p}(y)}{dy} = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \left[ \frac{1 + (1-y)^2}{y} \ln \frac{Q_{max}^2}{Q_{min}^2} - 2 \frac{(1-y)}{y} \left( 1 - \frac{Q_{min}^2}{Q_{max}^2} \right) \right] \sigma_{tot}^{\gamma p}(y), \quad (5)$$

where the term multiplying the  $\gamma p$  cross section is known as the flux factor  $f$ . In Eq. (5),  $\alpha$  is the electromagnetic coupling constant,  $y$  is the energy fraction transferred by the positron to the photon in the proton rest system,  $Q_{min}^2 = m_e^2 \frac{y^2}{1-y}$  (here  $m_e$  is the mass of the electron) and the highest measured  $Q^2$  is  $Q_{max}^2$  ( $\sim 0.01 \text{ GeV}^2$ ). The flux  $f$  is a function of  $y$  and needs to be integrated over the measured  $y$  range for obtaining the total  $\gamma p$  cross section.

In the final days of HERA running, a special photoproduction trigger was implemented for runs with proton energy set at the nominal value of 920 GeV (high-energy run, HER) and at proton energies lowered to 575 (medium-energy run, MER) and 460 GeV (low-energy run, LER). The analysis presented here attempts to extract the value of  $\delta$  based on the combination of the HER and LER data.

## 2 Experimental details

The setup of the experiment is shown in Fig. 1. At a distance of about 6m from the interaction point in the ZEUS calorimeter, a spaghetti type calorimeter, the so-called six meter tagger (6mT), was installed. The magnetic field of the HERA magnet, in which the 6mT was located, bent the low-angle scattered positrons to the tagger, and thus the tagger was used for tagging photoproduction events. The luminosity was determined by measuring the rate of photons from the Bethe-Heitler (BH) process ( $e^+p \rightarrow e^+\gamma p$ ). The latter was measured by two independent systems, the photon calorimeter (PCAL) and the



not precisely determined by the 6mT. This will improve with a better understanding and calibration of the 6mT.

The value of  $\delta$  as obtained from  $r$  is

$$\delta = 0.140 \pm 0.014(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.042(\text{syst.}) \pm 0.100(6\text{mT}), \quad (6)$$

which translates into

$$\epsilon = 0.070 \pm 0.007(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.021(\text{syst.}) \pm 0.050(6\text{mT}), \quad (7)$$

This result is consistent with earlier determinations of  $\epsilon$ , however has the advantage of being obtained from a single experiment and being model independent.

The statistical uncertainty will be improved in the future by including the data taken with a third proton beam energy (MER). The systematic uncertainty will improve by a better understanding and calibration of the 6mT.

## References

- [1] A. Donnachie and P.V. Landshoff, Phys. Lett. **B 296** (1992) 227.
- [2] ZEUS Collaboration, M. Derrick et al., Phys. Lett. **B 293** (1992) 465-477.
- [3] H1 Collaboration, T. Ahmed et al., Phys. Lett. **B 299** (1993) 374.
- [4] ZEUS Collaboration, M. Derrick et al., Zeit. Phys. **C 63** (1994) 391-408.
- [5] H1 Collaboration, S. Aid et al., Zeit. Phys. **C 69** (1995) 27.
- [6] ZEUS Collaboration, S. Chekanov et al., Nucl. Phys. **B 627** (2002) 3-28.
- [7] J.R. Cudell et al., Phys. Rev. **D 61** (2000) 034019.
- [8] J.R. Cudell et al., Phys. Lett. **B 395** (1997) 311.
- [9] Data used were extracted from the PPDS accessible at <http://wwwppds.ihep.su:8001/ppds.html>. Computer readable data files are also available at <http://pdg.lbl.gov>.
- [10] T. Sjöstrand, Zeit. Phys. **C 42** (1989) 301; H-U. Bengtsson and T. Sjöstrand, Computer Phys. Comm. **46** (1987) 43.
- [11] A. Kwiatkowski, H. Spiesberger and H.-J. Möhring, Computer Phys. Comm. **69** (1992) 155; H. Spiesberger, "HERACLES, An event generator for  $ep$  interactions at HERA including radiative processes (Version 4.6)" (1996), available on <http://www.desy.de/~hspiesb/heracles.html>.